Scorecards

E Fire Rescue

7	No fil	lter	AFR Strategic Objectives					
			rgency Response Readiness 25% 1					
8	ΔΨ		Name	Actual	Target	Variance	Variance %	Time Period
\	Δ		% of Typical Fire Risk Incidents achieving SORC	80.9%	82.0%	-1.1%	1.3%	FY 09, Q1
•		<u></u>	% of EMS Incidents achieving SORC	82.7%	80.0%	2.7%	3.4%	FY 09, Q1
8			% of Haz-Mat Incidents achieving SORC -FUTURE METRIC					FY 09, Q4
8			% of Technical Rescue Incidents achieving SORC -FUTURE METRIC					FY 09, Q4
3			Number of Incidents	4,614				FY 09, Q3, Jan
3			Number of Fires	174				FY 09, Q2, Dec
3			Number of Emergency Medical Service (EMS) Incidents	2,420				FY 09, Q2, Dec
8			Number of Haz-Mat Incidents	69				FY 09, Q2, Dec
3>			Number of Technical Rescue Incidents	28				FY 09, Q2, Dec
3>			Number of Productivity Hours Spent on Emergency Activity - FUTURE METRIC					FY 09, Q4
8			Number of Productivity Hours Spent on Non-Emergency Activity -FUTURE METRIC					FY 09, Q4
			n Resources Efficiently 20%					
8	ΔΨ		Name	Actual	Target	Variance	Variance %	Time Period
8		-	% of Sworn Personnel Attrition	5.4%				FY 08, Q2
3			Number of Sworn Personnel Successfully Promoted -FUTURE METRIC					FY 09, Q4
	-	<u></u>	Number of 12-hour Occurrences of an Engine Out-of-Service	2.0	0.0	2.0		FY 09, Q3, Jan
	-	<u></u>	Number of 12-hour Occurrences of a Ladder Truck Out-of- Service	18.0	0.0	18.0		FY 09, Q3, Jan
8			% of Overtime Dollars Spent	89.0%				FY 09, Q2
			ive Professional Development 20% O					
8	Δ₩		Name	Actual	Target	Variance	Variance %	Time Period
\			% of Sworn Personnel Trained at Haz-Mat Operations Level	23.0%	59.0%	-36.0%	61.0%	FY 09, Q1
\			% of Sworn Personnel Trained at Technical Rescue Operations Level	35.0%	70.0%	-35.0%	50.0%	FY 09, Q2
8			% of Sworn Personnel Certified as Extra Fire Apparatus	80.0%				FY 09, Q2

Metric Studio

Scorecards

E Fire Rescue

121		lter	AFR Strategic Objectives					
8	Δ₩		Name	Actual	Target	Variance	Variance %	Time Period
			Operator (FAO)					
3			% of Sworn Personnel to Complete Fire Officer Training	10.0%				FY 09, Q2
			ve Fire Prevention Services 15%					
8	Δ₩		Name	Actual	Target	Variance	Variance %	Time Period
>			Number of Evening Safety Observations Conducted	359.0	1,200.0	-841.0	70.1%	FY 09
•			% of Fire Investigations with Cause Determined	71.7	70.0	1.7	2.4%	FY 09, Q Sep
3			% of Fire Apparatus Requests Fulfilled -FUTURE METRIC					FY 09, Q
			ive Support Services (10%) 0 00					
8	Δ₩		Name	Actual	Target	Variance	Variance %	Time Period
>	-		Number of Safety Education Programs Conducted	46	83	-37	45%	FY 09, Q Nov
			cal Resources Efficiently 10%					
8	ΔW		Name	Actual	Target	Variance	Variance	Time
							%	_
35			% of Current and Budgeted Projects on Schedule -FUTURE METRIC					_
\$ ♦				25.0%	100.0%	-75.0%		Period
>			METRIC % of Phases for Mobile Data Computer (MDC)	25.0%	100.0%	-75.0%	%	Period FY 09 FY 09, Q
♦ S			METRIC % of Phases for Mobile Data Computer (MDC) Implementation on Schedule		100.0%	-75.0%	%	Period FY 09
♦			METRIC % of Phases for Mobile Data Computer (MDC) Implementation on Schedule % Change in Response Time for Units Equipped with MDC ve Public Information, Education, and Relations (PIER)		100.0%	-75.0%	%	Period FY 09 FY 09, Q
∳ Sinsi III C) ◇ 5	•	METRIC % of Phases for Mobile Data Computer (MDC) Implementation on Schedule % Change in Response Time for Units Equipped with MDC ve Public Information, Education, and Relations (PIER) 1 0	10%			% 75.0% Variance	Period FY 09 FY 09, Q FY 09, Q Time Period
) ◇ 5	•	METRIC % of Phases for Mobile Data Computer (MDC) Implementation on Schedule % Change in Response Time for Units Equipped with MDC ve Public Information, Education, and Relations (PIER) Name Number of People Reached through the Atlanta Citizens	10%	Target	Variance	% 75.0% Variance %	Period FY 09 FY 09, Q FY 09, Q Time Period FY 09, Q
Ensi) ◇ 5	•	METRIC % of Phases for Mobile Data Computer (MDC) Implementation on Schedule % Change in Response Time for Units Equipped with MDC ve Public Information, Education, and Relations (PIER) Name Number of People Reached through the Atlanta Citizens Emergency Team (ACERT) Program	10% Actual	Target	Variance	75.0% Variance % 27.0%	Period FY 09, Q FY 09, Q Time Period FY 09, Q FY 09, Q
	Δ₩	•	METRIC % of Phases for Mobile Data Computer (MDC) Implementation on Schedule % Change in Response Time for Units Equipped with MDC ve Public Information, Education, and Relations (PIER) Name Number of People Reached through the Atlanta Citizens Emergency Team (ACERT) Program Number of People Reached through Citizens' Fire Academy	10% Actual 127.0 15.0	Target 100.0 40.0	Variance 27.0 -25.0	75.0% Variance % 27.0% 62.5%	Period FY 09, Q FY 09, Q Time Period FY 09, Q FY 09, Q FY 09, Q
∳ } Ensi	Δ₩	•	METRIC % of Phases for Mobile Data Computer (MDC) Implementation on Schedule % Change in Response Time for Units Equipped with MDC ve Public Information, Education, and Relations (PIER) Name Number of People Reached through the Atlanta Citizens Emergency Team (ACERT) Program Number of People Reached through Citizens' Fire Academy Number of Safety Education Programs Conducted	10% Actual 127.0 15.0 46	100.0 40.0 83	Variance 27.0 -25.0 -37	75.0% Variance % 27.0% 62.5% 45%	FY 09, Q Nov

Metric Studio

Scorecards

Fire Rescue

7	7 No filter							
8	ΔΨ		Name	Actual	Target	Variance	Variance %	Time Period
B			Tons of CO2e Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Annual) -AFR -	628				FY 08, Q2